

UNIVERSITY OF LONDON



POSTGRADUATE MEDICAL SCHOOL OF LONDON

Telegrams
POSGRADMED CHISK LONDON
Telephone
SHEpherds Bush 1260 (4 lines)

DUCANE ROAD
LONDON, W.12

Department of Bacteriology.

12 November, 1952.

Dear Luca,

This letter is really just to show you that I have been a good boy and worked hard and that my knowledge of genetics has increased infinitely - from zero! I hope you are impressed! Actually I have for some time been anxious to determine whether UV treatment of the F⁺ parent disturbs the segregation ratios of F⁺ X F⁻ crosses, and thought that, in the process, I could experiment a bit with Jim's two-chromosome idea. I have therefore carried out an analysis of 102 prototrophs (as a preliminary run) from each of the crosses:

1. 58-161/F⁻ X W-677/F⁺ on MA + B₁ = primarily "B" cross-overs;
2. 58-161/F⁺ X W-677/F⁻ on MA + B₁ = primarily "A" cross-overs, and
3. 58-161/F⁺ X W-677/F⁻ on MA alone = all B₁+(checked) and involving "A" & "B" c.o.s if B₁ is on "B", as seems likely.

Due to the fact that T₁ is technically tedious while W-677 is only Gal⁻ and gives some growth on MA + Gal which makes things difficult, I have restricted the analysis to Lac & Az("A") and SM, Mal & B₁("B"). I enclose the analysis, together with a comment on three anomalies which struck me as being against a simple AB theory. You will probably find these comments very naive but I am still only a "toddler" and thought you might like to see how the ratios provided quite independently fitted your own. I am putting up another 100 from crosses 1 & 2 this week, together with a UV series from an aliquot of the same F⁺ cultures.

Yours,

Bill.